

CENTRAL WATER AUTHORITY

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report (Works)

Contract No. CWA/2010/17 – Pumping Mains from Piton Bon Espoir to Poudre D'Or Balancing Tank

1. **Scope of Contract**

Supply and lay about 3500 m of 250 mm diameter pipeline from immediately downstream of Piton Bon Espoir Borehole BH 820 to Poudre D'Or Balancing Tank.

Two options were available for the Bidders to quote for :

OPTION I

3.5 km of 250mm dia. Socket/Spigot PE 100 (PN 16) pipeline from Piton Bon Espoir to Poudre D'Or Balancing Tank.

OPTION II

3.5 km of 250mm dia. Ductile Iron (DI) pipeline from Piton Bon Espoir to Poudre D'Or Balancing Tank.

2. **Procurement method used** : Open Advertised Bidding (national)
3. **Date of Invitation of Bids** : 25 June 2010 in the local newspapers
4. **Closing date for submission of bids** : 27 July 2010 at 13.00 hrs
5. **Date and place of opening of bids** : 27 July 2010 at 13.05 hrs.

The Procurement and Finance Committee
Room
3rd floor, Central Water Authority
St Paul
Phoenix
Mauritius
6. **Number of bids received by closing date** : 5 (five)

7. **Opening of Bids**

Bids were opened on the 27 July 2010 at 13.05 hrs at the Central Water Authority. The names of Bidders who submitted their Bids and their respective bid amounts were read out at the Public Opening and are as detailed in Table 1 which also gives details of the Bid Securing Declaration.

Bidder No.	Bidder	Bid Amount (Rs) (incl. VAT) Option I	Bid Amount (Rs) (incl. VAT) Option II	Bid Securing Declaration	Bid Submission Form
1.	PAD & Co	31,076,669.30	27,300,300.22	Submitted	Submitted
2.	Onix Co Ltd	25,354,517.66	25,594,736.15	Submitted	Submitted
3.	EDCC Co Ltd	28,602,532.97	23,438,475.23	Submitted	Submitted
4.	JV Ragavoodoo Bros & Co. Ltd/Manser Saxon Contracting Ltd	27,998,143.80	23,697,025.08	Submitted	Submitted
5.	Sotravic Ltée	25,670,059.31	23,284,905.60	Submitted	Submitted

Bid Amounts Quoted by Bidders

8. **Price Comparison for Bids that were substantially responsive**

Arithmetical Check

The financial analysis was carried out for all the 5 bids, as all the bids were responsive.

The original and corrected bid amounts (excluding VAT) for both Options I and II are summarised in the Table 6(a) and Table 6(b) respectively below :

SN	BIDDERS	ORIGINAL BID AMOUNT (Rs.) (Excluding VAT)	CORRECTED BID AMOUNT (Rs.) (Excluding VAT)	RANKING
1.	PAD & Co Ltd	27,023,190.70	33,359,077.18	5
2.	Onix Co Ltd	22,047,406.66	22,024,306.66	2
3.	EDCC Co Ltd	24,871,767.80	26,851,767.80	4
4.	JV Ragavoodoo Bros & Co Ltd/Manser Saxon Contracting Ltd	24,346,212.00	24,464,940.11	3
5.	Sotravic Ltée	22,321,790.70	22,321,790.70	1

Option I : HDPE Pipes

SN	BIDDERS	ORIGINAL BID AMOUNT (Rs.) (Excluding VAT)	CORRECTED BID AMOUNT (Rs.) (Excluding VAT)	RANKING
1.	PAD & Co Ltd	23,739,391.50	29,582,037.65	5
2.	Onix Co Ltd	22,256,292.33	21,940,651.24	3
3.	EDCC Co Ltd	20,381,282.81	22,361,282.81	4
4.	JV Ragavoodoo Bros & Co Ltd/Manser Saxon Contracting Ltd	20,606,108.77	20,606,108.85	2
5.	Sotravic Ltée	20,247,744.00	20,247,744.00	1

Option II : Ductile Iron (DI) Pipes

It has been observed that under Option I all the Bidders have quoted for HDPE pipes with Electrofusion connection instead of spigot/socket connection.

Consequently, Option I has been rejected.

It is also noted, that Option II is the lowest quoted option, after Arithmetic check.

Option II: DI pipes, therefore, was retained for further analysis.

9. Ranking of Bidders in terms of Financial Offers

The ranking of responsive bidders in terms of their financial offer, is detailed in the table below :

RANK	BIDDERS	BID AMOUNT (RS.) FOR OPTION II : DI PIPES AND FITTINGS (EXCLUDING VAT)
1.	Sotravic Ltée	20,247,744.00
2.	JV Ragavoodoo Bros & Co Ltd/Manser Saxon Contracting Ltd	20,606,108.55
3.	Onix Co Ltd	21,940,651.24
4.	EDCC Co Ltd	22,361,282.81
5.	PAD & Co Ltd	29,582,037.65

Ranking of Bidders

10. **Best Evaluated Bid(s)**

The best evaluated bid is : **Sotravic Ltée in the amount of *Rs 20,247,744.00 (Rupees Twenty Thousand Two Hundred and Forty Seven Thousand Seven Hundred and Forty Four only), exclusive of VAT.***

CWA, St. Paul

3 /12/10