

CASES PENDING BEFORE INTERMEDIATE COURT

June 2010

<i>S No</i>	<i>Cause No</i>	<i>Particulars of Charge</i>	<i>Date</i>
3	275/07	<p><u>BRIBERY BY PUBLIC OFFICIAL</u></p> <p><i>Breach of Sec 4(1) (d) & 2 of the Prevention of Corruption Act</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 16th day of October 2004 at Port Louis, in the District of Port Louis, one FAROOK RUSMAULLY aged 49 years, Police Corporal bearing Departmental No. 3719 and residing at Morcellement Bhogun, Vingt Pieds, Triolet, did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, obtain from another person, for himself, a gratification for hindering the performance of an act by another public official, in the execution of the latter's duties.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT</u></p> <p><i>On or about the date and place aforesaid, the said Farook Rusmaully whilst being a Police Corporal posted to the Brigade de Jeux, a Unit responsible for tracking illegal bookmakers, notified in advance, one Siven Pillay who was operating as an illegal bookmaker at Goodlands, that a Police raid would be effected at his premises. The personnel of the Brigade de Jeux could not effect the operation and in return the said Farook Rusmaully obtained from the said Siven Pillay a gratification, to wit: sum of Rs.1000 for himself.</i></p>	<p><i>Continuation</i> 21.06.10</p>
13	829/08	<p><u>MONEY LAUNDERING</u> (18 counts)</p> <p><i>Breach of Section 3(1)(b), 6 & 8 of The Financial Intelligence and Money Laundering</i></p>	<p><i>Trial</i> 08.06.10</p>

Act.

*THAT on or about the 10th day of June 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, one **ALLAN MOOKEN**, aged 30 years, self employed and residing at No. 3 Raymond Rivet Street, Mont Roches, Beau Bassin, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mooken, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mooken, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 135,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 2

*THAT on or about the 13th day of June 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mooken, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mooken, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 134,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 3

*THAT on or about the 1st day of July 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mooken, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the*

/

WA
29.03.10

property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 60,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 4

*THAT on or about the 2nd day of July 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 93,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 5

*THAT on or about the 3rd day of July 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mooken, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 120,500 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 6

*THAT on or about the 12th day of July 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mooken, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mooken, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 40,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 7

*THAT on or about the 13th day of July 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mooken, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 100,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 8

*THAT on or about the 23rd day of December 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 401,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 9

*THAT on or about the 30th day of December 2002 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 100,400 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 10

*THAT on or about the 3rd day of January 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 235,650 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 11

*THAT on or about the 3rd day of January 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 148,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived

directly from a crime.

COUNT 12

THAT on or about the 16th day of January 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 179,600 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 13

THAT on or about the 17th day of January 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his

bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 39,700 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 14

THAT on or about the 18th day of June 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 42,800 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 15

THAT on or about the 12th day of September 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 100,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 16

*THAT on or about the 15th day of September 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mooken, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mooken, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 162,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

COUNT 17

*THAT on or about the 17th day of September 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said **ALLAN MOOKEN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mooken, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mooken, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 70,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.

		<p><u>COUNT 18</u></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 23rd day of September 2003 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said ALLAN MOOKEN, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally possess a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where he the said Allan Mookan, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT</u></p> <p><i>On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Allan Mookan, possessed in his bank account no. 03510100057828 at The State Bank of Mauritius, Beau Bassin the sum of Rs. 60,000 which sum he had reasonable grounds to suspect to have been derived directly from a crime.</i></p>	
15	1004/08	<p><u>TRAFIC D'INFLUENCE</u></p> <p><i>Breach of Section 10(5) of the Prevention of Corruption Act</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 23rd day of March 2006 at Montagne Blanche, in the District of Moka, one MOHIT MUNGREE, aged 45 years, Senior Inspector of Works and residing at Royal Road, Montagne Blanche, did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, solicit a gratification from any other person, for another person in order to make use of his fictitious influence, to obtain a benefit from a public body.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On or about the aforesaid date and place, the said Mohit Mungree whilst being a Senior Inspector of Works at the Moka/Flacq District Council solicited from one Mr Raj Gangaram sum of Rs. 5,000 to be remitted to officers of the District Council to allegedly approve an application for Development Permit, which was being processed at the</i></p>	Judgment 17.06.10

		Moka/Flacq District Council.	
18	1186/08	<p>1. <u>PUBLIC OFFICIAL USING OFFICE FOR GRATIFICATION</u> (3 cts) <i>Breach of Sec 7(1)(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act 2002</i></p> <p>2. <u>PUBLIC OFFICIAL USING OFFICE FOR GRATIFICATION</u> (2 cts) <i>Breach of Sec 7(1) of the Prevention of Corruption Act 2002</i></p> <p><i>THAT between the months of February and May 2005 at Edith Cavell Street, Port Louis, in the District of Port Louis, one <u>BIDIANAND JHURRY</u> aged 57 years, self-employed and residing at No. 1 Jhurry Lane, Beau Songes, did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, make use of his office for a gratification for another person.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT:</u></p> <p><i>On the aforesaid date and place, the said Bidianand Jhurry, whilst being the Chairman of Sugar Industry Labour Welfare Fund Committee caused one Mr Devnand Kumar Jhurry to be offered employment as Community Welfare Assistant.</i></p> <p><u>COUNT II</u></p> <p><i>THAT between the months of February and May 2005 at Edith Cavell Street, Port Louis, in the District of Port Louis, the said <u>BIDIANAND JHURRY</u> did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, make use of his office for a gratification for another person.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT:</u></p>	Continuation 03.06.10 &22.06.10

On the aforesaid date and place, the said Bidianand Jhurry, whilst being the Chairman of Sugar Industry Labour Welfare Fund Committee caused one Mr Chandan Kumar Jhurry to be offered employment as Community Welfare Assistant.

COUNT III

*THAT between the months of February and May 2005 at Edith Cavell Street, Port Louis, in the District of Port Louis, the said **BIDIANAND JHURRY** did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, make use of his office for a gratification for another person.*

TO WIT:

On the aforesaid date and place, the said Bidianand Jhurry, whilst being the Chairman of Sugar Industry Labour Welfare Fund Committee caused one Mr Katick Kumar Jhurry to be offered employment as Community Welfare Assistant.

COUNT IV

*THAT between the months of February and May 2005 at Edith Cavell Street, Port Louis, in the District of Port Louis, the said **BIDIANAND JHURRY** did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, make use of his office for a gratification for another person.*

TO WIT:

On the aforesaid date and place, the said Bidianand Jhurry, whilst being the Chairman of Sugar Industry Labour Welfare Fund Committee caused one Mr Outam Jhurry to be offered employment as Community Welfare Assistant.

		<p><u>COUNT V</u></p> <p><i>THAT between the months of February and May 2005 at Edith Cavell Street, Port Louis, in the District of Port Louis, the said <u>BIDIANAND JHURRY</u> did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, make use of his office for a gratification for another person.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT:</u></p> <p><i>On the aforesaid date and place, the said Bidianand Jhurry, whilst being the Chairman of Sugar Industry Labour Welfare Fund Committee caused one Mrs Jankee Jhurry born Chataroo to be offered employment as Community Welfare Assistant.</i></p>	
21	101/09	<p><u>CONFLICT OF INTERESTS</u> (4 counts)</p> <p><i>Breach of Section 13(2)(3) of the Prevention of Corruption Act 2002 as amended by section 4 of Act 1/2006.</i></p> <p><i>That on or about the 29th day of January 2008, at the Tobacco Board, Plaine Lauzun in the District of Port Louis, one <u>NAUSHAD MAUDARBACCUS</u>, 48 years, Chairman of the Tobacco Board and residing at No 150 Route St Paul, Phoenix, did whilst being a public official, whose relative had a personal interest in a decision which a public body had to take, that public official wilfully, unlawfully and criminally take part in the proceedings of that public body relating to such decision.</i></p> <p><u>Particulars of the charge</u></p> <p><i>On the date and place aforesaid, the said <u>Naushad Maudarbaccus</u>, chaired the meeting of the Tobacco Board, that approved the recommendations of the Adhoc Committee held on 14.01.08 relating to the allocation of permit for the production of ‘Virginia Flue Cured Tobacco’ wherein his wife Mrs Bibi Ameenah Maudarbaccus, born</i></p>	Continuation 10.06.10

Dhuny, was among the applicants recommended.

COUNT II

*That on or about the aforesaid date and place one **TANVEER MAUDERBACCUS**, 34 yrs, Member of the Tobacco Board and residing at Royal Road, Caroline, Bel Air Riviere Seche, did whilst being a public official, whose relative had a personal interest in a decision which a public body had to take, that public official wilfully, unlawfully and criminally take part in the proceedings of that public body relating to such decision.*

Particulars of the charge

*On the date and place aforesaid, the said **Tanveer Mauderbaccus**, whilst being a member of the Tobacco Board, took part in the meeting of the said Board that approved the recommendations of the Adhoc Committee held on 14.01.08 relating to the allocation of permit for the production of 'Virginia Flue Cured Tobacco' wherein his wife Mrs Ikrah Mauderbaccus, born Maudarbacus was among the applicants recommended.*

COUNT III

*That on or about the aforesaid date and place one **TANVEER MAUDERBACCUS**, did whilst being a public official, whose relative had a personal interest in a decision which a public body had to take, that public official wilfully, unlawfully and criminally take part in the proceedings of that public body relating to such decision.*

Particulars of the charge

*On the date and place aforesaid, the said **Tanveer Mauderbaccus**, whilst being a member of the Tobacco Board, took part in the meeting of the said Board that approved the recommendations of the Adhoc Committee held on 14.01.08 relating to the allocation*

		<p><i>of permit for the production of 'Virginia Flue Cured Tobacco' wherein his brother Ashad Mauderbaccus, was among the applicants recommended.</i></p> <p><u>COUNT IV</u></p> <p><i>That on or about the aforesaid date and place, one ROSSENSING BEEGOO, 37 years, Technical Assistant and Member of the Tobacco Board, residing at Royal Road, Union Park, did whilst being a public official, whose relative had a personal interest in a decision which a public body had to take, that public official wilfully and unlawfully take part in the proceedings of that public body relating to such decision.</i></p> <p><u>Particulars of the charge</u></p> <p><i>On the date and place aforesaid, the said Rossensing Beegoo, whilst being a member of the Tobacco Board, took part in the meeting of the said Board that approved the recommendations of the Adhoc Committee held on 14.01.08 relating to the allocation of permit for the production of 'Virginia Flue Cured Tobacco' wherein his wife Hema Devi Beegoo, born Buldan, was among the applicants recommended.</i></p>	
23	171/09	<p><u>CORRUPTION OF AGENT</u> (4 counts)</p> <p><i>Breach of section 16(1) and 83 of the Prevention of Corruption Act</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 25 January 2005 at No 42 EDC Balance Plaine Magnien, in the District of Grand Port, one MOHAMMAD HANIFF NAUZEER, aged 61 years, pensioner and residing at La Grotte Lane Plaine Magnien, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, whilst being an agent who, without the consent of his principal, obtain from a person for himself, a gratification for doing an act in the execution of his duties.</i></p>	Trial 09.06.10

PARTICULARS

On the aforesaid date and place, the said Mohammad Haniff Nauzeer, whilst being employed as Checking Officer at SOS Guard, obtained the sum of Rs 2000 for himself from one Mrs Marie Gladys Parson to employ one Mr Joseph Parson as Security Officer at the SOS Guard.

COUNT II

*THAT on or about the 15 November 2004 at No33 Cite EDC Balance Plaine Magnien, in the District of Grand Port, the said **MOHAMMAD HANIFF NAUZEER**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, whilst being an agent who, without the consent of his principal, obtain from a person for himself a gratification for doing an act in the execution of his duties.*

PARTICULARS

On the aforesaid date and place, the said Mohammad Haniff Nauzeer, whilst being employed as Checking Officer at SOS Guard, obtained the sum of Rs 2000 for himself from one Mrs Lucette Jonassen to employ one Mr Jacques Sylvio Jonasen as Security Officer at the SOS Guard.

COUNT III

*THAT on or about the 17 November 2004 at Chemin Mosque Cite Balance Plaine Magnien, in the District of Grand Port, the said **MOHAMMAD HANIFF NAUZEER**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, whilst being an agent who, without the consent of his principal, obtain from another person for himself, a gratification for doing an act in the execution of his duties.*

PARTICULARS

On the aforesaid date and place, the said Mohammad Haniff Nauzeer, whilst being employed as Checking Officer at SOS Guard, obtained the sum of Rs. 1,000 for himself

		<p><i>from one Mr Louis Parson as part payment for having recruited latter as Security Officer at the SOS Guard.</i></p> <p><u>COUNT IV</u></p> <p><i>THAT in or about the month of December 2004 at Chemin Mosque Cite Balance Plaine Magnien, in the District of Grand Port, the said MOHAMMAD HANIFF NAUZEER, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, whilst being an agent who, without the consent of his principal, obtain from another person for himself, a gratification for doing an act in the execution of his duties.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On the aforesaid date and place, the said Mohammad Haniff Nauzeer, whilst being employed as Checking Officer at SOS Guard, obtained the sum of Rs. 500 for himself from one Mr Louis Parson as part payment for having recruited latter as Security Officer at the SOS Guard.</i></p>	
26	400/09	<p><u>1. MONEY LAUNDERING</u> (Counts 1 – 11)</p> <p><i>Breach of Section 3(1) (b), 6(3) & 8 of The Financial Intelligence and Anti Money Laundering Act 2002</i></p> <p><u>2. CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT THE OFFENCE OF MONEY LAUNDERING</u> (Counts 12 – 14)</p> <p><i>Breach of Section 4, 6(3) & 8 of The Financial Intelligence and Anti Money Laundering Act 2002.</i></p> <p><i>THAT</i> on or about the 13th day of January 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, one Miss MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY, alias Natacha, aged 24 years, no calling and residing at No 12 Rue La Perle, Cite</p>	<p><i>Continuation</i> <i>23.06.10</i></p>

Briquetterie, Ste. Croix, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 20,000 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived, in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 2

***THAT** on or about the 13th day of January 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 19,600 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 3

***THAT** on or about the 13th day of January 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting*

that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 20,000 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 4

THAT *on or about the 13th day of January 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 20,000 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 5

THAT *on or about the 13th day of January 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property, which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 11,200 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 6

***THAT** on or about the 10th day of June 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 14,500 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 7

***THAT** on or about the 15th day of June 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting*

that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 90,000 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 8

***THAT** on or about the 5th day of July 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 24,000 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 9

***THAT** on or about the 11th day of August 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd,*

Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 50,000 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime

COUNT 10

THAT on or about the 11th day of August 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 50,000 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime

COUNT 11

*THAT on or about the 16th day of August 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha, had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha was in possession in her bank account no. 014530457 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd of the sum of Rs. 80,000 which sum she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived , in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 12

*THAT on or about the 11th October 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha and one **LUCIANO TONY CURTIS BOWANEE**, alias Brandon, aged 27 years, stonemason and residing at No 12 Rue La Perle, Cite Briquetterie, Ste. Croix, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally agree with each other to commit an offence specified in Section 3(1) of The Financial Intelligence and Anti Money Laundering Act 2002.*

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha and the said Tony Curtis Bowanee alias Brandon agreed with each other to engage in a transaction involving property which was, in part, directly representing

the proceeds of a crime, by crediting in the bank account no. 014530457 of The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, the sum of Rs. 47,000 which sum the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha and the said Tony Curtis Bowanee alias Brandon had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived, in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 13

THAT on or about the 11th October 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha and the said **LUCIANO TONY CURTIS BOWANEE**, alias Brandon, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally agree with each other to commit an offence specified in Section 3(1) of The Financial Intelligence and Anti Money Laundering Act 2002.

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha and the said Tony Curtis Bowanee alias Brandon agreed with each other to engage in a transaction involving property which was, in part, directly representing the proceeds of a crime, by crediting in the bank account no. 014530457 of The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, the sum of Rs. 10,000 which sum the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha and the said Tony Curtis Bowanee alias Brandon had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived, in part, directly from a crime.

COUNT 14

THAT on or about the 11th October 2004 at The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the district of Port Louis, the said Miss **MARIE NATACHA RANGASAMY**, alias Natacha and the said **LUCIANO TONY CURTIS BOWANEE**, alias Brandon, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally agree with each other to commit an offence specified in Section 3(1) of The Financial Intelligence and Anti Money Laundering Act 2002.

PARTICULARS

		<p><i>On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha and the said Tony Curtis Bowanee alias Brandon agreed with each other to engage in a transaction involving property which was, in part, directly representing the proceeds of a crime, by crediting in the bank account no. 014530457 of The Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, the sum of Rs. 10,000 which sum the said Miss Marie Natacha Rangasamy, alias Natacha and the said Tony Curtis Bowanee alias Brandon had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived, in part, directly from a crime.</i></p>	
27	478/09	<p><u>BRIBERY BY PUBLIC OFFICIAL</u></p> <p><i>Breach of section 4(1) (b) & (2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act.</i></p> <p><i>THAT</i> <i>in or about the month of August 2004 at Belle Rose, in the District of Plaine Wilhems, the said RAJKOOMARSINGH SEEPERSON did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally obtain from another person, for himself, a gratification for doing an act which is facilitated by his duties.</i></p> <p><u>Particulars</u></p> <p><i>In or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Rajkoomarsingh Seeperson, whilst being a Customs and Excise Officer, obtained a sum of Rs. 125,000 from one Seewoosagar Jugessur, for giving back to latter a bank guarantee under ref CTL03/01599 bound to the Customs of Mauritius in the sum of Rs. 695,319/ and that Mr Rajkoomarsingh Seeperson, whilst being posted in the Form 30 Section of the Customs Department, was facilitated in doing such an act given that he had easy access to the Bank Guarantee Section and the documents that were kept thereat.</i></p>	<p><i>Continuation</i> <i>18.06.10</i></p>
28	555/09	<p><u>BRIBERY BY PUBLIC OFFICIAL</u></p> <p><i>Breach of Section 4(1) (b) (2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act.</i></p> <p><i>THAT</i> <i>On or about the 7th day of May in the year 2008 at Mazerieux Lane, Eau Coulee, in the District of Upper Plaine-Wilhems, one VIJAY RAMSAHYE, 37 yrs, Head of the Planning Department at Municipal Council of Curepipe and residing at Ave Baitka, Camp Le Vieux, Rose Hill, did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and</i></p>	<p><i>Trial</i> <i>30.0</i> <i>6.10</i></p>

		<p><i>criminally, solicit from another person, for himself, a gratification for doing an act which is facilitated by his duties.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On or about the date and place aforesaid, the said Vijay Ramsahye, whilst being the Head of the Planning Department at Municipal Council of Curepipe, solicited a sum of Rs 5,000/ from one Patrice Hok Shui Hau Hau Kim Fai, Director of Origin Investments Ltd, for clearance to be granted from the Planning Department, in order for Origin Investments Ltd to be issued with a trade permit (Licence) for a Cyber Café.</i></p>	
30	557/09	<p><u>TRAFIC D'INFLUENCE</u></p> <p><i>Breach of section 10(4) of the Prevention of Corruption Act 2002</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 8th day of March 2005 at Kulpoo lane, Rose Belle in the District of Savanne one CHANDRAGUPTA TULLOO, alias Jeewon, 53 yrs, Security Guards at Brinks Ltd and residing at Veeraragoo Lane, Gebert Road, Rose Belle, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally solicit a gratification from another person for another person in order to make use of his fictitious influence to obtain a benefit from a public body.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On the aforesaid date and place the said Chandragupta Tulloo solicited sum of Rs 6,000/ from one Judes Antoine Monneron, to cause through his contacts at the Traffic Branch of the Police Department a driving licence for motor bus to be issued to the said Judes Antoine Monneron. The said money would allegedly be remitted to Police Officers</i></p>	Trial 01.06.10

		<i>of the Traffic Branch.</i>	
34	602/09	<p><u>PUBLIC OFFICIAL USING POSITION FOR GRATIFICATION</u></p> <p><i>Breach of Section 7(1) of the Prevention of Corruption Act.</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 12th August 2003 at Mapou, in the District of Riviere du Rempart one 1. SHEILENDRA PEERTHUM, aged 47 years, Chief Executive at Moka/Flcaq District Council, the then Secretary of the Pamplemousses/Rivière du Rempart District Council and residing at Bonne Terre, Vacoas, and 2. ABDOOL SAID JAUMALLY, 59 years, Company Director, the then Councillor of the Pamplemousses/Rivière du Rempart District Council and residing at Royal Road, Calebasses, did whilst being public officials, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally make use of their positions for a gratification for another person.</i></p> <p><u>Particulars</u></p> <p><i>On the date and place aforesaid, the said 1. Sheilendra Peerthum and 2. Abdool Said Jaumally, whilst being the Secretary of the Pamplemousses/Rivière du Rempart District Council and the Councillor of the Pamplemousses/Rivière du Rempart District Council respectively, informed the sub Committee that a quotation was received from JD Enterprises regarding the supply of banners with logo JIOI, quadricolour flags and quadricolour oriflammes, when in fact such quotation was not officially invited from JD Enterprises, and by so doing they gave an opportunity to Mrs Jayshree Kamla Devi Mohabeer, representative of JD Enterprises to have the quote of JD Enterprises considered and accepted.</i></p>	<p><i>Trial</i> <i>17.06.10</i> <i>&</i> <i>21.06.10</i></p>
38	714/09	<p><u>BRIBERY BY PUBLIC OFFICIAL</u></p> <p><i>Breach of Section 4(1) (a) (2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act</i></p>	<p><i>Trial</i> <i>24.06.10</i></p>

		<p><i>THAT on the 29th day of November 2004 at Souillac Traffic Centre, in the District of Savanne, one Sacheedanand VEERIAH, aged 43 years, Police Sergeant Departmental No. 5218 and residing at Royal Road, Chamouny, did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, solicit from another person, for himself, a gratification for abstaining from doing an act in the execution of his duties.</i></p> <p><u>Particulars</u></p> <p><i>On the date and place aforesaid, the said Sacheedanand Veeriah, did whilst being a Police Sergeant in uniform performing vehicle check along Souillac Traffic Centre, solicit sum of Rs 500/ for himself, from one Mr Vayid Baukar, so as to avoid latter facing any legal consequences regarding his driving Licence which according to Police Sergeant Veeriah appeared to be a fake one.</i></p>	
40	734/09	<p><u>BRIBERY OF PUBLIC OFFICER</u></p> <p><i>Breach of section 5(1) (b) & (2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act</i></p> <p><i>THAT in or about the month of May 2005 at Shiv Shakti Avenue, Solferino No 5, Vacoas, in the district of Upper Plaine Wilhems, one Ameeth Kumar CHAUNDEE, 25 years, Health Care Assistant and residing at Kalimaye Road, Plaines des Roches, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally give to a public official, a gratification for doing an act which is facilitated by his duties.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On the date and place aforesaid, the said Ameeth Kumar Chaundee did give to Police Sergeant Soorendra Koolwont posted at the Traffic Branch, sum of Rs 10,000 so as to make him the said Ameeth Kumar Chaundee pass both the mechanic and road tests to obtain a driving licence to drive buses and goods vehicles.</i></p>	Trial 30.06.10

859/09

CORRUPTION OF AGENT (3 counts)Trial
04.06.10*Breach of Section 16(1) & 83 of the Prevention of Corruption Act*

*THAT on or about the 31st August 2003 at Champs de Mars, in the District of Port-Louis, one **JEAN ROLAND BOUTANIVE**, 34 years, Jockey and residing at No 95 Muslim Cemetery Road, Ste-Croix, Port-Louis, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, whilst being an agent, without the consent of his principal, accept from another person for himself, a gratification for having done an act in relation to his principal's business.*

TO WIT:

On or about the aforesaid date and place, the said Jean Roland BOUTANIVE whilst being a Jockey mounting for Ramdin stable, accepted for himself sum of Rs 50,000 as a gift from one Maheswarsing Chackoor, alias Lelo, without the consent of his employer Mr Sailehsing Ramdin, for having ridden 'Best of British' horse of Ramdin's stable and winning the race.

COUNT II

*THAT on or about the 31st August 2003 at Champs de Mars, in the District of Port-Louis, one **JEAN ROLAND BOUTANIVE**, 34 years, Jockey and residing at No 95 Muslim Cemetery Road, Ste-Croix, Port-Louis, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, whilst being an agent, without the consent of his principal, accept from another person for himself, a gratification for having done an act in relation to his principal's business.*

TO WIT:

On or about the aforesaid date and place, the said Jean Roland BOUTANIVE whilst being a Jockey mounting for Ramdin stable, accepted for himself sum of Rs 100,000 as a

		<p><i>gift from one William Dimba, without the consent of his employer Mr Saileshsing Ramdin, for having ridden 'Best of British' horse of Ramdin's stable and winning the race.</i></p> <p><u>COUNT III</u></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 18th October 2003 at Champs de Mars, in the District of Port-Louis, one JEAN ROLAND BOUTANIVE, 34 years, Jockey and residing at No 95 Muslim Cemetery Road, Ste-Croix, Port-Louis, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, whilst being an agent, without the consent of his principal, accept from another person for himself, a gratification for having done an act in relation to his principal's business.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT:</u></p> <p><i>On or about the aforesaid date and place, the said Jean Roland BOUTANIVE whilst being a Jockey mounting for Ramdin stable, accepted for himself sum of Rs 25,000 as a gift from one Maheswarsing Chackoor, alias Lelo, without the consent of his employer Mr Saileshsing Ramdin, for having ridden 'Sporting Gamble' horse of Ramdin's stable and winning the race.</i></p>	
50	1152/09	<p><u>TRAFIC D'IFLUENCE</u></p> <p><i>Breach of section 10(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act 2002.</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 31st day of January 2009 at Royal Road, L'Escalier in the District of Savanne one AHMAD JILANI CHEERGALLY, 32 yrs, self employed and residing at Nadal Lane, La Sourdine, L'Escalier did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally give a gratification to another person to use his influence, real or fictitious, to obtain benefit from a public body.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p>	Trial 29.06.10

		<p><i>On the aforesaid date and place, the said Ahmad Jilani Cheergally gave sum of Rs 1200/- to one Imam Buddoo for him to use his influence, real or fictitious, on someone at the Vehicle Examination Centre, Forest Side, in view of obtaining a Certificate of Fitness for Lorry A 1133 from the National Transport Authority.</i></p>	
56	1180/09	<p><u>TRAFIC D'INFLUENCE</u></p> <p><i>Breach of section 10(5) and 83 of the Prevention of Corruption Act 2002.</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 24th day of October 2007 at Orchard Tower, Quatre Bornes in the District of Lower Plaine Wilhems one MRS BIMLA RAMLOLL, born Ramsaran, 37 yrs, Manager, residing at 41 B Telfair Avenue, Quatre Bornes, the then Councilor at Municipal Council of Quatre Bornes did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally obtain a gratification from any other person for any other person in order to use her influence, real or fictitious, to obtain a benefit from a public body.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On the aforesaid date and place the said Mrs Bimla Ramloll, then Councilor at Municipal Council of Quatre Bornes, obtained sum of Rs 259,500/ from Messrs Viraj Poorun and Mohamed Izaac Domah for Health Inspectors of the said Municipal Council, to use her influence, real or fictitious, to obtain stalls from the Municipal Council of Quatre Bornes.</i></p>	<p><i>Trial</i> <i>14.06.10</i></p>
58	1182/09	<p>1. <u>PUBLIC OFFICIAL USING HIS POSITION FOR GRATIFICATION</u></p> <p><i>Breach of Section 7(1) & 83 of the Prevention of Corruption Act</i></p> <p>2. <u>BRIBERY BY PUBLIC OFFICIAL</u></p>	<p><i>Argumen</i> <i>ts</i> <i>15.06.10</i></p>

		<p><i>Breach of section 4(1)(b) of the Prevention of Corruption Act</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 11th day of November 2005 at Reverend Jean Lebrun Street, Port Louis, in the District of Port Louis, one RAMA KRISHNA MUDALIAR, aged 49 years, Officer in charge of Finance Division MHC and residing at No. 5 Ramphul Street, Curepipe Road, did whilst being a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, make use of his office for a gratification.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On or about the date and place aforesaid, the said Rama Krishna Mudaliar whilst being the Officer in charge of Finance Division at Mauritius Housing Company Ltd, obtained sum of Rs. 30,000 from one Pameetsingh Ramtohul to approve a part disbursement of a loan applied by latter.</i></p> <p><u>COUNT II</u></p> <p><i>That on or about the 19th day of January 2006 at the place aforesaid the said RAMA KRISHNA MUDALIAR did whilst being a public official wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, solicit from another person, for himself, a gratification for doing an act which is facilitated by his functions.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On or about the date and place aforesaid, the said Rama Krishna Mudaliar whilst being the Officer in charge of Finance Division at Mauritius Housing Company Ltd, solicit sum of Rs. 200,000 from one Pameetsingh Ramtohul to facilitate the application procedures for the obtention of a loan.</i></p>	
61	1238/09	<u>TRAFIC D'INFLUENCE</u>	Trial 02.06.10

		<p><i>Breach of section 10(4) and 83 of the Prevention of Corruption Act 2002.1</i></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 20th day of November 2008 at Edith Cavell street, Port Louis in the District of Port Louis one GERARD PHILLIPPE RAYEPA, 42 yrs, Police Constable Departmental Number 1563 and residing at B 4 Police Quarters, Pointe aux Sables, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally obtain a gratification from any other person for himself in order to make use of his fictitious influence to obtain a benefit from a public body.</i></p> <p><u>PARTICULARS</u></p> <p><i>On the aforesaid date and place the said Gerard Phillippe Rayepa, obtained sum of Rs 4,700/ for himself from one Louis Martin Nabab in order to make use of his fictitious influence, for the said Louis Martin Nabab to obtain a driving licence for motor bus from Traffic Branch, Police Department, without undergoing any test.</i></p>	
70	133/10	<p><u>RECEIVING GIFT FOR A CORRUPT PURPOSE</u></p> <p><i>Breach of Section 15(a) of the Prevention of Corruption Act 2002</i></p> <p><i>THAT in or about the year 2008 at Sodnac, Quatre Bornes in the District of Lower Plained Wilhems, one ROBERT JANDOO, 44 yrs, Self employed and residing at Apartment D 02, Residence Villeneuve, Sodnac, did whilst being then a public official, wilfully, unlawfully and criminally, obtain a gratification for himself from a person, whom he knew to have been concerned in having any connection with his functions.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT:</u></p> <p><i>On or about the aforesaid year and place, the said Robert Jandoo, whilst being then a</i></p>	Trial 10.06.10

		<p><i>Board member of the Information and Communication Technologies Authority (ICTA), obtained for himself from one Jacques Brian Andy Lareine a gratification, to wit: the use of car 3555 Nov 02 make Rover, which was sold to latter by ICTA following a tender exercise wherein the said Robert Jandoo took part in the decision making process for the sale of the said car to Jacques Brian Andy Lareine.</i></p>	
73	314/10	<p><u>MONEY LAUNDERING</u> (5 counts)</p> <p><i>Breach of Section 3(1) (b), 6(3) & 8 of The Financial Intelligence and Anti Money Laundering Act 2002.</i></p> <p><i>THAT</i> <i>in or about the month of November 2003 at Domaine Du Moulin, St Antoine, Goodlands in the District of Riviere du Rempart, one MRS YOSHIKA AUDIT, Born Jogeeah, aged 26 yrs, Beautician and residing at Nehru Lane, Fond Du Sac, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of properties which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the properties were derived, in part, directly from a crime.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT</u></p> <p><i>In or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Mrs Yoshika Audit was in possession of a portion of land to the extent of 423 m2 comprising of a concrete house situate at St Antoine, Goodlands, which she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived, in part, directly from larceny by Mr Chabeelall Audit (late husband of Mrs Yoshika Audit) whilst he was an employee of the Development Bank of Mauritius.</i></p> <p><u>COUNT 2</u></p> <p><i>THAT</i> <i>on or about the 30th day of January 2007 at Domaine Du Moulin, St Antoine, Goodlands in the district of Riviere du Rempart, the said MRS YOSHIKA AUDIT, Born Jogeeah, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally receive a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she had reasonable grounds for suspecting</i></p>	Trial 17.06.10

that the property was derived, in part, directly from a crime.

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Mrs Yoshika Audit received Private Car 379 ZS 03, as gift from her late husband Mr Chabeelall Audit, which she had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the property was derived, in part, directly from larceny by Mr Chabeelall Audit (late husband of Mrs Yoshika Audit) whilst he was an employee of Ivy Leathers Ltd.

COUNT 3

*THAT on or about the 9th day of April 2008 at the place aforesaid, one **MRS YOSHIKA AUDIT**, Born Jogeeah, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the properties were derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

TO WIT

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Mrs Yoshika Audit was in possession of Private Car bearing registration No: 249 ZT 04, which she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived, in part, directly from larceny by Mr Chabeelall Audit (late husband of Mrs Yoshika Audit) whilst he was an employee of Ivy Leathers Ltd.

COUNT 4

*THAT on or about the 9th day of April 2008 at Mauritius Commercial Bank Ltd, Goodlands, in the aforesaid one **MRS YOSHIKA AUDIT**, Born Jogeeah, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the properties were derived, in part, directly from a crime.*

		<p><u>TO WIT</u></p> <p><i>On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Mrs Yoshika Audit was in possession of sum of Rs 141,025.53 held in bank account number 092373488 of her husband late Mr Chabeelall Audit, which she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived, in part, directly from larceny by Mr Chabeelall Audit (late husband of Mrs Yoshika Audit) whilst he was an employee of Ivy Leathers Ltd.</i></p> <p><u>COUNT 5</u></p> <p><i>THAT on or about the 9th day of April 2008 at Mauritius Post and Cooperative Bank Ltd, Port Louis, in the said District the said MRS YOSHIKA AUDIT, Born Jogeeah, was wilfully, unlawfully and criminally in possession of a property which, in part directly represented, the proceeds of a crime, where she had reasonable grounds for suspecting that the properties were derived, in part, directly from a crime.</i></p> <p><u>TO WIT</u></p> <p><i>On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said Mrs Yoshika Audit was in possession of sum of Rs 18,630.82 held in bank account number 0200000021400001 of her son Minor Tushya Audit, which she had reasonable grounds for suspecting to have been derived, in part, directly from larceny by Mr Chabeelall Audit (late husband of Mrs Yoshika Audit) whilst he was an employee of Ivy Leathers Ltd.</i></p>	
74	357/10	<p><u>LIMITATION OF PAYMENT IN CASH</u> (4 counts)</p> <p><i>Breach of Section 5(1) & 8 of the Financial Intelligence and Anti Money Laundering Act 2002.</i></p>	<p><i>Judgment</i> <i>09.06.10</i></p>

THAT on or about the 5th day of July 2003 at Shibani Finance Money Changer, Port Louis, in the District of Port Louis one ***Mohammad Jeelany MEEAJUN***, 48 years, Businessman and residing at 91, Morcellement Jhuboo, Trou aux Biches, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally make a payment in cash in foreign currency, whose equivalent amount was in excess of Rs 350,000/-.

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said ***Mohammad Jeelany MEEAJUN*** made a payment of GBP 15,000 to Shibani Finance Money Changer in exchange of Rs 712,000/.

COUNT II

THAT on or about the 2nd day of February 2004 at the Shibani Finance Money Changer, Port Louis, the said ***Mohammad Jeelany MEEAJUN***, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally make a payment in cash in foreign currency, whose equivalent amount was in excess of Rs 350,000/- .

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said ***Mohammad Jeelany MEEAJUN*** made a payment of GBP 50,000 to Shibani Finance Money Changer in exchange of Rs 2,287,500/.

COUNT III

THAT on or about the 2nd day of February 2004 at the Shibani Finance Money Changer, Port Louis, the said ***Mohammad Jeelany MEEAJUN***, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally make a payment in cash in foreign currency, whose equivalent amount was in excess of Rs 350,000/- .

PARTICULARS

On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said ***Mohammad Jeelany MEEAJUN*** made a payment of GBP 45,000 to Shibani Finance Money Changer in exchange of Rs 2,058,750/.

COUNT IV

THAT on or about the 25th day of November 2004 at Grand Bay Helipad, in the District of Riviere du Rempart, the said **Mohammad Jeelany MEEAJUN**, did wilfully, unlawfully and criminally make a payment in cash in foreign currency, whose equivalent amount was in excess of Rs 350,000/- .

PARTICULARS

*On or about the date and place as aforesaid, the said **Mohammad Jeelany MEEAJUN** made a payment of GBP 200,000 to Grand Bay Helipad in exchange of Rs10,480,000/.*