

Science and Mathematics Education Centre

**Gender and Other Factors Impacting on
Mathematics Achievement at the
Secondary Level in Mauritius**

Hemant Bessoondyal

**This thesis is presented for the Degree of
Doctor of Mathematics Education
of
Curtin University of Technology**

October 2005

DECLARATION

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for any award of any other degree or diploma in any university.

To the best of my knowledge and belief this thesis contains no material previously published by any other person except where due acknowledgement has been made.

Signature:

Date:

ABSTRACT

Mathematics has been seen to act as a ‘critical filter’ in the social, economic and professional development of individuals. The Island of Mauritius relies to a great extent on its human resource power to meet the challenges of recent technological developments, and a substantial core of mathematics is needed to prepare students for their involvements in these challenges.

After an analysis of the School Certificate examination results for the past ten years in Mauritius, it was found that boys were out-performing girls in mathematics at that level. This study aimed to examine this gender difference in mathematics performance at the secondary level by exploring factors affecting mathematics teaching and learning, and by identifying and implementing strategies to enhance positive factors.

The study was conducted using a mixed quantitative and qualitative methodology in three phases. A survey approach was used in the Phase One of the study to analyse the performance of selected students from seventeen schools across Mauritius in a specially designed mathematics test. The attitudes of these students were also analysed through administration of the Modified Fennema-Sherman Mathematics Attitude Scale questionnaire.

In Phase Two a case study method was employed, involving selected students from four Mauritian secondary schools. After the administration of the two instruments used in Phase One to these selected students, qualitative techniques were introduced. These included classroom observations and interviews of students, teachers, parents and key informants. Data from these interviews assisted in analysing and interpreting the influence of these individuals on students, and the influence of the students’ own attitudes towards mathematics on their learning of mathematics.

The results of Phases One and Two provided further evidence that boys were outperforming girls in mathematics at the secondary level in Mauritius. It was noted that students rated teachers highly in influencing their learning of mathematics. However, the teaching methods usually employed in the mathematics classrooms

were found to be teacher-centered, and it was apparent that there existed a lack of opportunity for students to be involved in their own learning. It was also determined that parents and peers played a significant role in students' learning of mathematics.

After having analysed the difficulties students encountered in their mathematical studies, a package was designed with a view to enhance the teaching and learning of the subject at the secondary level. The package was designed to promote student-centred practices, where students would be actively involved in their own learning, and to foster appropriate use of collaborative learning. It was anticipated that the package would motivate students towards learning mathematics and would enhance their conceptual understanding of the subject. The efficacy of the package was examined in Phase Three of the study when students from a number of Mauritian secondary schools engaged with the package over a period of three months.

Pre- and post-tests were used to measure students' achievement gains. The What Is Happening in This Class (WIHIC) questionnaire also was used to analyse issues related to the affective domains of the students. An overall appreciation of the approaches used in the teaching and learning package was provided by students in the form of self-reports.

The outcomes of the Third Phase demonstrated an improvement in the achievement of students in the areas of mathematics which were tested. The students' perceptions of the classroom learning environment were also found to be positive. Through their self-reports, students demonstrated an appreciation for the package's strategies used in motivating them to learn mathematics and in helping them gain a better understanding of the mathematical concepts introduced.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Professor John Malone, who has continuously provided his support, encouragement and help throughout this course. He has always been a source of inspiration for me.

My thanks also go to all the staff of the SMEC and friends who helped me when I was at the university for my residency. They were always ready to offer their help in times of need.

I wish also to thank the Mauritius Institute of Education for giving me the opportunity to pursue my studies and the staff of the Department of Mathematics Education for their constant support and encouragement. My thanks also go to the Ministry of Education and Scientific Research and the rectors of the different schools involved in this study for facilitating access to the schools and enabling the smooth conduct of the research.

This study would not have been possible without the contribution of students, teachers, parents and other stakeholders. I wish to thank all the people who were involved, directly or indirectly, in this study.

I have to make a special mention of my family members who provided the necessary emotional support and encouragement at all times.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	<i>i</i>
Abstract	<i>ii</i>
Acknowledgements	<i>iv</i>
Table of Contents	<i>v</i>
List of Tables	<i>ix</i>
List of Figures	<i>xiii</i>
List of Abbreviations	<i>xv</i>
CHAPTER ONE: Context, Perspective and Overview	1
Mathematics in Education	1
Precursors to the Study	3
My Personal Interests and Motivation	3
International Concern about Gender and Mathematics	4
Lack of Research Involving Gender and Mathematics in Mauritius	5
The Sociocultural Context of the Republic of Mauritius	6
The Location of Mauritius and its Population	6
Historical Developments in Mauritius	6
Educational Development in Mauritius	7
The Theoretical Framework of the Study	12
Purpose of the Study	14
The Research Design	15
Significance of the Study	16
Achievement of Boys and Girls in Mauritius	16
Action for Educational Stakeholders in Mauritius	19
Overview of the Thesis	19
CHAPTER TWO: Literature Review	21
Gender and mathematics	22
Teacher variables	32
The peer influence	34
Parental support	35

Attitude towards mathematics	37
The Learning Environment	40
The reform movement in teaching mathematics for understanding	44
Language and mathematics	48
Conceptual framework for this study: Equity in education	50
Summary of the chapter	55
CHAPTER THREE: Methodology	57
The methodological framework of the study: The interpretive model of research	59
Ontological assumptions	60
Epistemological assumption	61
Methodological assumption	61
The design of the study	63
Quality criteria of the study: trustworthiness, the hermeneutic process and authenticity	64
Phase One: Gaining an overall picture	66
The instruments used	69
Phase Two	72
Obtaining data through observation	72
Generating data through interviews	73
Generating data on teacher interaction	75
The Draw-A- Mathematician- Test	77
Generating data through the examination of materials	79
Bringing together the different data	79
Phase Three	79
Ethical Issues	80
Triangulation	81
Summary of the chapter	82
CHAPTER FOUR: Analysis 1	83
Section A	83
Section B	93
Bivariate analysis	107

Regression analysis	119
ANOVA results	121
Summary of the chapter	123
CHAPTER FIVE: Analysis 2	124
Mathematics Questionnaire	124
Questionnaire on Teacher Interaction (QTI)	126
Classroom Observations	132
Student interviews	134
Interview of teachers	145
Interview of Parents	156
Draw a Mathematician Test	165
Interview of other key informants	169
Answering the Research Questions 1-3	180
Summary of the chapter	187
CHAPTER SIX: The Implementation Phase	188
Cooperative learning in mathematics	189
ASEI movement & PDSI approach	190
The sample	193
Post-test	203
What Is Happening In this Class? (WIHIC) questionnaire	206
Feedback from students	209
Answering Research Question Four	211
Summary of the chapter	213
CHAPTER SEVEN: Discussion and Conclusion	215
Review of the study	215
Main findings of the study	219
Implications for teaching and learning	225
Limitations of the study	229
Recommendations for further research	232
Concluding words	233

REFERENCES	234
APPENDICES	253
Appendix One: Letter to the Ministry of Education and Scientific Research	254
Appendix Two: Mathematics Questionnaire	255
Appendix Three: Modified Fennema-Sherman Attitude Scales	263
Appendix Four: Observation Schedule	265
Appendix Five: Questions for interview of students	267
Appendix Six: Response from interview of students	268
Appendix Seven: Questions for interview of teachers	288
Appendix Eight: Interview of Teachers	289
Appendix Nine: Questions for interview of parents	304
Appendix Ten: Interview of Parents	305
Appendix Eleven: Questions for interviews of key informants	318
Appendix Twelve: Interview of key informants	319
Appendix Thirteen: Classroom observations	335
Appendix Fourteen: Pre-test	341
Appendix Fifteen: Lesson Plans	343
Appendix Sixteen: Post-test	362
Appendix Seventeen: WIHIC questionnaire	366
Appendix Eighteen: Questionnaire on Teacher Interaction	368
Appendix Nineteen: Reactions from students	369
Appendix Twenty: Reaction from teacher	380
Appendix Twenty One: Sample of drawings from students	381

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Highest qualifications of teachers in secondary schools in 2003	10
Table 1.2: Percentage Passes in Certificate of Primary Education Examination (CPE) in Mauritius 1995-2004	16
Table 1.3: Percentage of Passes in Mathematics in CPE Examination in Mauritius 1995-2004	17
Table 1.4: Percentage of Passes in School Certificate Examination in Mauritius 1995-2004	17
Table 1.5: Percentage of Passes in Mathematics in School Certificate Examination in Mauritius 1995-2004	17
Table 1.6: Percentage of Students Scoring Grades 1-3 in Mathematics in the School Certificate Examination 1995-2004	18
Table 2.1: Gender Analysis of FIMS, SIMS and TIMSS	23
Table 2.2: Enrolment in BSc mathematics at the University of Mauritius	25
Table 2.3: Enrolment in Engineering at the University of Mauritius	26
Table 2.4: Overview of some Classroom Environment Instruments	43
Table 2.5: Academic staff in the mathematics department	54
Table 3.1: The research design	64
Table 3.2: Number of schools of each category in Mauritius	67
Table 3.3: Type of schools in each region for the final sample	68
Table 4.1: Response to Question 1	83
Table 4.2: Response to Question 2	84
Table 4.3: Response to Question 3	85
Table 4.4: Response to Question 4	86
Table 4.5: Response to Question 5	87
Table 4.6: Response to Question 6	88
Table 4.7: Response to Question 7	89
Table 4.8: Response to Question 8	90
Table 4.9: Response to Question 9	91
Table 4.10: Response to Question 10	92
Table 4.11: Response to Question 11(i)	93
Table 4.12: Mean for boys and girls in Question 11(i)	94
Table 4.13: Response to Question 11(ii)	94
Table 4.14: Mean for boys and girls in Question 11(ii)	94
Table 4.15: Response to Question 12	95

Table 4.16: Mean for boys and girls in Question 12	95
Table 4.17: Response to Question 13	95
Table 4.18: Mean for boys and girls in Question 13	96
Table 4.19: Response to Question 14	96
Table 4.20: Mean for boys and girls in Question 14	96
Table 4.21: Response to Question 15	97
Table 4.22: Mean for boys and girls in Question 15	97
Table 4.23: Response to Question 16	97
Table 4.24: Mean for boys and girls in Question 16	98
Table 4.25: Response to Question 17	98
Table 4.26: Mean for boys and girls in Question 17	99
Table 4.27: Response to Question 18(i)	99
Table 4.28: Mean for boys and girls in Question 18(i)	99
Table 4.29: Response to Question 18(ii)	100
Table 4.30: Mean for boys and girls in Question 18(ii)	100
Table 4.31: Response to Question 19	100
Table 4.32: Mean for boys and girls in Question 19	101
Table 4.33: Response to Question 20	101
Table 4.34: Mean for boys and girls in Question 20	101
Table 4.35: Response to Question 21(a)	102
Table 4.36: Mean for boys and girls in Question 21(a)	102
Table 4.37: Response to Question 21(b)	103
Table 4.38: Mean for boys and girls in Question 21(b)	103
Table 4.39: Response to Question 22	103
Table 4.40: Mean for boys and girls in Question 22	104
Table 4.41: Response to Question 23	105
Table 4.42: Mean for boys and girls in Question 23	105
Table 4.43: Summary of results from Mann-Whiney U tests	106
Table 4.44: Range of marks for the grade in the test	107
Table 4.45: Gender and Grade	107
Table 4.46: Ethnic Groups and Grade	108
Table 4.47: Zones and Grade	108
Table 4.48: Private tuition in Form 1 and Grade	109

Table 4.49: Private tuition in Form 2 and Grade	109
Table 4.50: Private tuition in Form 3 and Grade	109
Table 4.51: Private tuition in Form 4 and Grade	109
Table 4.52: Hours of study in Form 1 and Grade	110
Table 4.53: Hours of study in Form 2 and Grade	110
Table 4.54: Hours of study in Form 3 and Grade	110
Table 4.55: Hours of study in Form 4 and Grade	111
Table 4.56: χ^2 values for performance in the test and hours of study	111
Table 4.57: Performance in Form 1 and Grade	112
Table 4.58: Performance in Form 2 and Grade	112
Table 4.59: Performance in Form 3 and Grade	112
Table 4.60: Performance in Form 4 and Grade	112
Table 4.61: χ^2 values for performance in the test and prior performance	113
Table 4.62: Performance in English at CPE and Grade	114
Table 4.63: Performance in Mathematics at CPE and Grade	114
Table 4.64: Performance in French at CPE and Grade	114
Table 4.65: Performance in Environmental Studies at CPE and Grade	114
Table 4.66: χ^2 values for performance in the test and performance at CPE	115
Table 4.67: Performance in Oriental Language at CPE and Grade	115
Table 4.68: Father Education and Grade	116
Table 4.69: Mother Education and Grade	116
Table 4.70: Father Profession and Grade	116
Table 4.71: Mother Profession and Grade	117
Table 4.72: χ^2 values for performance in the test and parents education and profession	117
Table 4.73: Grade in CPE mathematics and Gender	118
Table 4.74: Summary of Chi Square tests conducted	118
Table 4.75: Number of each Ethnic Community in the sample	121
Table 4.76: Results of ANOVA tests	122
Table 4.77: Mann-Whitney U tests for gender difference in performances	122
Table 5.1: Mathematics Questionnaire Phase 2 — Mean and standard deviation in the different domains	125
Table 5.2: Mann Whitney U tests for each of the domains	125

Table 5.3: Mean and standard deviation for QTI scales for School A	126
Table 5.4: Mean and standard deviation for QTI scales for School B	128
Table 5.5: Mean and standard deviation for QTI scales for School C	129
Table 5.6: Mean and standard deviation for QTI scales for School D	130
Table 5.7: Mean, standard deviation and reliability coefficients of QTI scale	131
Table 5.8: Number of Boys and Girls Who Drew Stereotypical Indicators in their Drawings	166
Table 6.1: Means and standard deviations for pre-test and post test	203
Table 6.2: Results from the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Tests	204
Table 6.3: Means and standard deviations in the second part of the post test	205
Table 6.4: Scales and sample items of the WIHIC questionnaire	206
Table 6.5: WIHIC results for School 3A in Phase Three	207
Table 6.6: WIHIC results for School 3B in Phase Three	207
Table 6.7: WIHIC results for School 3C in Phase Three	207
Table 6.8: WIHIC results for the three schools combined	208

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Enrolments in secondary schools according to gender	12
Figure 1.2: Percentage Passes in Mathematics at SC Level	18
Figure 1.3: Percentage of Students Scoring Grades 1-3 in Mathematics in the School Certificate Examination	19
Figure 2.1: Enrolment genderwise in BSc mathematics at the University of Mauritius	26
Figure.2.2: Enrolment genderwise in Engineering at the University of Mauritius	27
Figure 2.3: Model of achievement behaviour	31
Figure 3.1: The Secondary Schools in the Republic of Mauritius following the Reform	68
Figure 3.2: The Model for Interpersonal Behaviour	76
Figure 4.1: Response to Question 2 gender wise	84
Figure 4.2: Response to Question3 gender wise	86
Figure 4.3: Response to Question 4 gender wise	87
Figure 4.4: Response to Question 5 gender wise	88
Figure 4.5: Response to Question 7 gender wise	89
Figure 4.6: Response to Question 7 gender wise	90
Figure 4.7: Response to Question 8 gender wise	91
Figure 4.8: Response to Question 9 gender wise	92
Figure 4.9: Response to Question 10 gender wise	93
Figure. 5.1: Visual representation of QTI for teacher in School A	127
Figure. 5.2: Visual representation of QTI for teacher in School B	128
Figure. 5.3: Visual representation of QTI for teacher in School C	129
Figure 5.4: Visual representation of QTI for teacher in School D	130
Figure 5.5: Line chart showing the means of all four schools together	131
Figure 5.6: Mathematician as a teacher	166
Figure 5.7: A mathematician as a queer person	167
Figure 6.1: Picture of the cut outs used by students for factorisation	196
Figure. 6.2: A picture of a cyclic quadrilateral drawn with the opposite angle shaded	200
Figure 6.3: The cut outs fit exactly on a straight line	201
Figure 6.4: Means for pre-test and post-test of the three schools	204
Figure 6.5: WIHIC results for Schools 3A, 3B and 3C	208

Figure 6.6: The SAC model for enhancing mathematics achievement	213
Figure 7.1: Model for factors impacting on gender and mathematics within the classroom	223
Figure 7.2: A Cycle That Perpetuates Stereotypes	227

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASEI: Activity, Student, Experiment and Improvise-
B.Ed: Bachelor of Education
B.Sc: Bachelor of Science
CPE: Certificate of Primary Education
DAST: Draw-A-Scientist-Test
ELP: Limited English Proficient
FIMS: First International Mathematics Study
HSC: Cambridge Higher School Certificate
IEA: International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement
JICA: Japan International Cooperation Agency
MIE: Mauritius Institute of Education
NCTM: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics
PCEA: Roman Catholic Education Authority
PDSI: Plan, Do, See and Improve
PGCE: Post Graduate Certificate in Education
QTI: Questionnaire on Teacher Interaction
RDO: Research and Development Officer
SAC: Student centred, Activity based and Cooperative learning
SC: Cambridge School Certificate
SIMS: Second International Mathematics Study
SMASSE: Strengthening of Mathematics and Science in Secondary Education
SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences
TIMSS: Third International Mathematics and Science Study
WIHIC: What Is Happening In this Class?